

## Finding conflicting architectural recommendations

Posted by djdesjardins - 2012/01/31 21:44

---

In forums, and in one of the videos I found this:

"Yes consider the master as a repository or a library is our recommendation and we also suggest to not put any data in the master - just install the extensions required by the slave sites."

But, all the documentation and videos show doing the following on the Master:

Creating slave sites from the front end

Managing and creating slave sites from the back end

Running a virtumart installation

I want to do this, what is the correct architecture?:

Have a master that is just a repository, no data or operations done there

Have primary Slave that is my primary website and where I manage slave site creation (front and back ends)

Create a series of template sites that will be used to create operational sites from the front end

Hope this is clear.

=====

## Re: Finding conflicting architectural recommendations

Posted by edwin2win - 2012/02/02 11:30

---

I think that you have correctly understood our recommendation and that the architecture that you propose is correct.

- Have a master that is just a repository, no data or operations done there
- Have primary Slave that is my primary website and where I manage slave site creation (front and back ends)
- Create a series of template sites that will be used to create operational sites from the front end

This is correct

=====

## Re: Finding conflicting architectural recommendations

Posted by djdesjardins - 2012/02/14 02:00

---

I found the following on another post:

Are you managing JMS from the master website or from a slave site.

Normally JMS should only managed from the master website.

It seems that you are working from a slave site and that you don't have the "/multisites" directory shared 'Symbolic Link'

I also attempted to use Multisite on the primary slave site I setup. I did not have the ability to create a new slave site or a template. I was using 2.1.75, I'll edit and add additional detail after I re-setup an instance and try again.

Question is, should I only create templates and slave sites from the back end on the Master Site? Can I create Slave Site on the Front End of my primary slave site?

=====

## Re:Finding conflicting architectural recommendations

Posted by edwin2win - 2012/02/16 21:40

---

When JMS is installed in a slave site, this is possible to create website from the front-end because you need to have the component present to build the menu.

Normally the management of the slave site should never be done from a slave site. Only the master should manage the slave site definition.

=====