

Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by ssnobben - 2017/08/10 12:42

Hi

I just wonder if multisite is compatibel with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming J 3.8 too?

And if someone have experience to use multisite with EasySocial and EasyBlog sharing profiles SSO login and also blogs between different Joomla sites?

Is it possible to use any type of template for multisite too?

Cheers!

=====

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by edwin2win - 2017/08/11 09:16

Concerning J3.7.4, the answer is YES.

You need the lastest JMS.

See the FAQ for the procedure to get the latest version.

<https://www.jms2win.com/en/faq#sec-122>

Concerning the J3.8, we can not reply yet.

=====

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by ssnobben - 2017/08/13 17:27

Ok thks for update!

One tips is to change the login captcha to Google Recaptcha v 2 its more easy for your customer and future customer to login. ;)

=====

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by edwin2win - 2017/09/20 14:26

Concerning Joomla 3.8, it is required to use the JMS version 1.3.68 and the patches version 1.3.63

=====

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by ssnooben - 2017/09/20 14:43

Okey its a lot of debate now when some people updated too quick without doing the update of 3pds first and that isnt compatible yet.. :huh:

=====

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by edwin2win - 2017/09/21 09:22

There is NO debate because Joomla DOES NOT PROVIDE ANY ascending COMPATIBILITIES as they claim.

The problem is just do on the fact that they changed class name, move files on location,, that creates incompatibilities.

So every 6 month, people have to review, redo compatibility testing,

Joomla themselves display a message in the "Joomla Update" to remember the users that they must verify the compatibility of the extensions before doing the upGrade of the core.

This is the reason why, for several years, we recommend using the "Apollo 13" procedure before doing the upGrade on a live website.

see <https://www.jms2win.com/en/documentation/j-and-beyond-2013>

This help identifying the potential incompatibilities and define the actions required to do the upGrade in all security.

The problem is that Joomla force people doing the upGrade to benefit of security fixes.

So this does not let choice to redo testing very 6 months.

=====

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by ssnooben - 2017/09/24 09:38

Well seems working well know where the 3pds have before tested there extensions with the betas,rc before the relase.

I tested all of Stackideas all large 3pds and none of them had no problem wich was great.

Many had problem with old versions of SP Pagebuilder bcs thats a popular page/template builder addon.

Cheers!

ps like the Apollo 13 presentation :)

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by edwin2win - 2017/09/25 08:46

Thank you for your feedback.

Perhaps that you have noticed that in JMS 1.3.68, we have introduced in the about-us a new "download ID" feature that, when it is found, allow adding JMS into the list of the extensions that can be updated via the joomla update processing.

=====

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by ssnooben - 2017/09/26 12:41

edwin2win wrote:

Thank you for your feedback.

Perhaps that you have noticed that in JMS 1.3.68, we have introduced in the about-us a new "download ID" feature that, when it is found, allow adding JMS into the list of the extensions that can be updated via the joomla update processing.

Thats good! :) Pls update this forum with Google reCaptcha v 2 also its annoying with that old captcha that is almost invisible with letter etc

Cheers!

=====

Re:Compatible with Joomla 3.7.4 and coming 3.8?

Posted by edwin2win - 2017/09/26 18:50

For your info, the captcha present in my website is NOT a google captcha but something customed internally to reduce the risk of hack or bypass.

I know it is not very pleasant but this reduce a lot of spam in despite that some spammers have found an alternative method.

=====